arXiv:1612.09332v1 [math.GT] 29 Dec 2016

A note on degenerations of Morse actions*

Louis Merlin

January 2, 2017

Abstract

We study Morse representations of discrete subgroups in higher rank semi-simple Lie groups defined in [KLP14a]. We show that, if a sequence of Morse representations $\rho_n : \Gamma \to G$ is (strongly) unbounded in the character variety, the group must have a very particular structure.

1 Introduction

In [KLP14b], M. Kapovich, B. Leeb and J. Porti introduced a class of discrete subgroups of higher rank Lie groups, called the Morse subgroups, which enjoy remarkable "rank one properties". Those groups are meant to replace the rank one convex cocompact subgroups for which the naive definition (the convex core is compact) fails to produce interesting examples in higher rank ([Qui05] and[KL06]). The authors used opportune characterizations of convex cocompactness (using dynamical properties on the boundaries or coarse geometric properties) to generalize it in higher rank. The terminology refers to the fact that those groups satisfy a higher rank version of the Morse lemma, see [KLP14a]. We postpone in section 2 a formal definition and a brief discussion on the propreties of Morse subgroups and actions. Those groups have been paid with a lot of attention, in particular in the direction of Anosov representations; see [GGKW15], [GW12] and [KLP15].

As being thought of groups that exhibit rank one behaviour properties, it is natural to ask what can be said about degenerations of Morse representations. Indeed, there is a huge variety of different phenomena in rank one : lattices are rigid in dimensions greater than 2 (Mostow's rigidity theorem) while flexible in dimension 2 (Teichmuller space). The representations of fundamantal group of a hyperbolic acylindrical 3-manifold inside $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is compact ([CS83]), while Shottky groups or quasi-fuchsian representations have a non compact space of representations (actually the statement below will precise this observation).

Main Result. In the sequel, G will be a semi-simple Lie group with no compact factor. We denote by (X, g) the Riemannian symmetric space associated to G, that is the space G/K where K is a maximal compact subgroup, endowed with a G-left invariant metric. The reader who is not familiar with the subject may keep in mind the case $X = \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$,

*AMS Codes : 22E40 53C35 20E42 **Keywords** : Representations of discrete groups in semi-simple Lie groups, degenerations Morse actions on symmetric spaces and buildings.

$G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. For an element $\gamma \in G$, we denote by $\|\gamma\|$ its translation length, that is

$$\|\gamma\| = \inf_{x \in X} d(\gamma x, x),$$

where d is the distance derived from g. We only consider actions of a finitely generated group Γ by Riemannian isometries on X.

Theorem 1. *Let $\Gamma =< s_1, \cdots, s_k >$ be a finitely generated subgroup and let G be a semi-simple Lie group with no compact factors. Assume ρ_n is a sequence of Morse representations $\rho_n : \Gamma \to G$ such that*

$$\inf_i \{\|\rho_n(s_i)\|\}$$

is unbounded. Then Γ splits as a free product of a free Abelian group and a surface group.

The rough conclusion of this statement is that degenerating Morse groups have a structure which looks like Fuchsian groups. This corroborates the general phenomenon of groups enjoying rank one behaviour, in the context of representations.

The hypothesis of strong degeneracy (that is $\inf_i\{\|\rho_n(s_i)\|\}$ must be unbounded) could seem a little strong. However such degenerations are not that exotic. Indeed, consider the situation of a closed surface group Γ and a sequence of reprensentations of Γ which goes to infinity in the Teichmuller space. It is not hard to show that there exist generators for Γ such that all the translation lengths go to infinity. To do that, we need to use Thurston's description of the boundary of Teichmuller space, by geodesic laminations. Given such a boundary lamination, take generators of Γ which all intersect the lamination. Their translation lengths must diverge.

Nevertheless, to the knowledge of the author, we cannot make such a choice for degenerations of Morse subgroups.

Before going any further, let's make a couple of remarks to illusrate the relevance of this statement.

Remark 2.

1. Consider a uniform lattice Γ in a higher rank semi-simple Lie group G (the representation $\Gamma \to G$ is then not at all flexible by Mostow's rigidity theorem). Then Γ cannot be a free product of a surface group and a free Abelian group. If one of the factor is trivial, we get that G is either $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{O}_d(\mathbb{R})$ and this is excluded. If none of the factors is trivial, the group have several ends (which corresponds to connected components of the boundary of the group). But, since the group is cocompact, its boundary is homeomorphic to the boundary of the symmetric space associated to G, which is connected.

2. One of the ingredients of the definition of Morse action is that the orbit map

   $$\Gamma \to \Gamma \cdot x$$

   is a quasi-isometry. This is also true for a cocompact lattice by Milnor-Schwarz lemma. This shows that the full hypothesis of Morse action is necessary (see however remark 6).

Outline of the proof and plan of the paper. Here are two heuristical facts that will help us to build a solid plan. Let us make the same hypothesis than in the main theorem.

Fact 1: Going at infinity in the space of representations amounts to consider an action of Γ on a Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-building which is a singular CAT(0)-space having some combinatorial similarities with the symmetric space associated to G. This space is called the asymptotic cone of the symmetric space. This is a direct reference to the construction of F. Paulin and A. Parreau in [Pau97] and [Par12] (for a surface group this is also known as the Bestvina-Paulin compactification of the Teichmuller space, which was described in an unpublished part of Paulin's dissertation and in [Bes88]). See paragraph 2.1.

Fact 2: When one wants to study the structure of some group, it is more eloquent to let it act on such a building than on a Riemannian manifold. An evidence for that is the Rips' theorem ([GLP94]) which will be decisively used at the end of the proof. Rips' theorem is stated as theorem 11.

Keeping those two guiding facts in mind, we proceed as follows:

Step 1: Let (X, g) be the Riemannian symmetric space associated to G. Denote by λ_n the number

$$\lambda_n = \inf_i \{\|\rho_n(s_i)\|\}.$$

We can assume that $\lambda_n \to \infty$. We consider the sequence of symmetric spaces (X, g_n) where

$$g_n = \frac{g}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}.$$

This sequence converges for the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology ([Pau97]) to an Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-building χ naturally endowed with an action of Γ. The choices of some basepoints and a principal ultrafilter is harmless.

Step 2: Define a notion of Morse action on a building.

Step 3: Show that the limit action of Γ on χ is Morse.

Step 4: Show that the limit action is free.

Step 5: Generalize Rip's theorem for a free Morse action on a $\mathbb{R}$-building.

Steps 1 and 2 come from classical facts about asymptotic cones and Morse actions and will be proved in the course of section 2. The 3 last steps are more specific and will be proved in section 3.

Aknowledgments. I would like to heartily thank Mahan MJ for suggesting me the problem and for explaining me the usefullness of the asymptotic cone. My interest for the subject and the understanding of the paper [KLP14b] owes a lot to discussions we had with Yash Lodha.

I also thank Mahan MJ for informing me that M. Kapovich has independently worked out a similar proof (but never written up).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Symmetric spaces of noncompact type, buildings and asymptotic cones of symmetric spaces

The literature about symmetric spaces and buildings is quite abundant. Complete and solid references are given in the books [Ebe96] for symmetric spaces and [AB08] for buildings. Regarding symmetric spaces, we also refer to paragraph 2.3 of [KLP14b] for an efficient overview. For buildings, the spirit of this article is closer to the geometric definition given in chapters 3 and 4 of [KL97]. Indeed the buildings we will consider are $\mathbb{R}$-buidings which are in general nor discrete, nor Bruhat-Tits buildings associated to some algebraic group.

Let us just recall the construction of the asymptotic cone given in [KL97] and replaced in the context of degenerations of discrete groups in [Pau97] and [Par12]. Indeed, let (X, d) be a metric space. We will apply this construction in only two cases: a symmetric space with Riemannian distance d and a group Γ with a word metric. Let also $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = +\infty.$$

According to this real numbers, we consider the scaled metric spaces (X_n, d_n) where, for any n,

$$X_n = X \quad \text{and} \quad d_n = \frac{g}{\lambda_n}$$

We also fix a nonprincipal ultrafilter ω and a sequence of basepoint $\star_n \in X_n$. Now we construct the space

$$\chi_\infty = \left\{ x = (x_n)_n \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_n \text{ such that } \lim_\omega d_n(x_n, \star_n) < \infty \right\}.$$

It is endowed with a pseudo-metric

$$d_\infty\left((x_n), (y_n)\right) = \lim_\omega d_n(x_n, y_n)$$

which is not a metric in general. Hence the space we will merely consider is defined as the quotient of χ_∞ by identifying two points at d_∞-distance 0. It is a (pointed) metric space denoted by $(\chi, d_\infty, [(\star_n)])$ where $[(x_n)]$ denotes the class in χ of an element $(x_n) \in \chi_\infty$.

Returning to the context of degenerating representations of some discrete group Γ in G, with associated symmetric space $X = G/K$, the usefullness of the asymptotic cone is explained by the three above statements.

Lemma 3 (Lemma 2.4.3 in [KL97] adapted to the contex of representations in [Pau97])**.** *The pointed metric spaces $(X_n, d_n, \star_n)$ converges to $(\chi, d_\infty, [(\star_n)])$ for the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology.*

Theorem 4 (Theorem 5.2.1 in [KL97])**.** *The metric space (χ, d_∞) has a structure of a Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-building of the same type as X (that is the Weyl group of χ is the group of affine isometries whose vectorial parts lie in the Weyl group of X).*

Beware that χ is a $\mathbb{R}$-buiding in general which means that its Weyl group may not be discrete.

Lemma 5. *We have an action of* Γ *on* χ *given by*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \rho: & \Gamma \times \chi & \longrightarrow & \chi \\ & (\gamma, [(x_n)]) & \longmapsto & [(\gamma x_n)] \end{array}.$$

Proof: The group Γ acts on each (X_n, d_n) by isometries, hence acts on χ_∞ by

$$\gamma \cdot (x_n) = (\gamma x_n)$$

and by isometries. So it goes down to χ. □

We will discuss in theorem 7 the choice of appropriate scaling parameters in our context.

2.2 Morse actions

This part is made with selected pieces of [KLP14b]. Before giving the definition of Morse groups and actions, we need to describe some combinatorial features of symmetric spaces and buildings (still assuming that the reader is familiar with the basics of the theory). For the rest of this section the metric space (X, d) could be either a symmetric space or an Euclidean $\mathbb{R}$-building. We endow the boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty X$ with the Tits metric (section 3.4 in [Ebe96]) and denote it by $\partial_{\text{Tits}} X$. It has a structure of a spherical building (3.6 in [Ebe96]).

We denote by σ_{mod} the model Weyl chamber at infinity, that is

$$\sigma_{\text{mod}} = \partial_\infty X / G$$

and the projection $\theta : \partial_\infty X \to \partial_\infty X/G$ which is called the type map. Tha map θ restricts to an isometry on every simplex of $\partial_{\text{Tits}} X$. This allows to also define the type of a simplex. We use the notation $\theta(\tau) = \tau_{\text{mod}}$. The type of a simplex is then a face of σ_{mod}.

Throughought this text, we fix an additional data Θ which is a compact set of σ_{mod} and which encodes the type of regularity of vectors and boundary points. Morse actions will be defined with respect to this choice.

Stars and regularity. Let $\tau \subset \partial_{\text{Tits}} X$ be a simplex. The star of τ, denotes $\text{st}(\tau)$ is the union of all simplices intersecting $\text{int}(\tau)$ non trivially. The open star of τ, denoted $\text{ost}(\tau)$ consists in all open simplices whose closure intersects $\text{int}(\tau) = \overset{\circ}{\tau}$ non trivially.

Those notions of stars allow to generalize the definition of regularity for a point $\xi \in \partial_\infty X$ (hence also for a vector $v \in TX$ or a geodesic inside X) in [Ebe96] 2.11. Precisely, a point $\xi \in \partial_\infty X$ such that $\theta(\xi) \in \text{ost}(\tau_{\text{mod}})$ is called τ_{mod}-regular. If Θ is a compact subset of σ_{mod} and $\xi \in \Theta$, we say that ξ is Θ-regular.

Note that, if τ is of type σ_{mod}, then $\text{st}(\tau) = \tau$ and $\text{ost}(\tau) = \overset{\circ}{\tau}$. But the star is not finite as soon as $\overset{\circ}{\tau}$ contains a singular point (for instance, the endpoint of a geodesic $\gamma(t) = (o, c(t))$ in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ where c is a non constant geodesic of the hyperbolic plane is contained in many chambers).

A segment in X is called τ_{mod} or Θ-regular if it is contained in a geodesic $x\xi$ such that $\theta(\xi) \in \text{ost}(\tau_{\text{mod}})$ or $\theta(\xi) \in \Theta$ respectively.

Cones. Let x be a point in X and τ be a simplex of $\partial_{\mathrm{Tits}}X$. The Weyl sector, denoted $\mathrm{V}(x,\tau)$ is the union of all geodesic rays $x\xi$ where $\xi \in \tau$. It is contained in a flat (or an appartment). More generally, if A is closed subset of $\partial_\infty X$, the Weyl cone, denoted $\mathrm{V}(x,A)$ is the union of all geodesic rays $x\xi$ such that $\xi \in A$.

Parallel sets. Let $s \subset \partial_{\mathrm{Tits}}X$ be an isometrically embedded unit sphere. The parallel set $P(s)$ is the union of all maximal flats or appartments asymptotic to s. For a pair of antipodal simplices τ_- and τ_+., there is a unique minimal sphere $s(\tau_-,\tau_+)$ containing both of them and we denote by $P(\tau_-,\tau_+)$ the parallel set $P(s(\tau_-,\tau_+))$.

Diamonds. We recall that we have fixed a compact subset Θ of σ_{mod}. We first define the Θ-star of a simplex $\tau \subset \partial_{\mathrm{Tits}}X$ as

$$\mathrm{st}_\Theta(\tau) = \mathrm{st}(\tau) \cap \theta^{-1}(\Theta)$$

(we construct the star only with simplices of type in Θ). Let xy be a geodesic segment. We define the simplex $\tau(xy)$ as follows: forward extend the geodesic segment to a whole ray $x\xi$, say of type τ_{mod}-regular. Then τ is the unique face of type τ_{mod} such that $\xi \in \mathrm{st}(\tau)$. Finally if x_-x_+ is a geodesic which is Θ-regular, we define the Θ-diamond of x_-x_+ as

$$\Diamond_\Theta(x_-x_+) = V(x_-, \mathrm{st}_\Theta(\tau_+)) \cap V(x_+, \mathrm{st}_\Theta(\tau_-))$$

where $\tau_+ = \tau(x_-x_+)$ and $\tau_- = \tau(x_+x_-)$. The diamond is a subset of $P(\tau_-,\tau_+)$ (it is indeed shaped like a diamond, being the intersection of two cones going in opposite directions).

Morse quasi-geodesics. We now fix three positive real constants L, A, D. We define a (L,A,Θ,D)-Morse quasi-geodesic as a map $p : I \to X$ where I is an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ such that p is a (L,A) quasi-geodesic and for all t_1, t_2 in I, the subpath is uniformly D-close to a Θ-diamond $\Diamond_\Theta(x_1x_2)$ with $d(x_i,p(t_i)) \leq D$ for $i = 1,2$.

Such Morse quasi-geodesics appear as quasi-geodesics that satisfy a higher rank version of the Morse lemma (theorem 7.2 in [KLP14b]).

Morse actions. A Θ-Morse embedding from a quasi-geodesic Z to X is a map $f : Z \to X$ which sends uniform quasi-geodesics to uniform Morse quasi-geodesics with respect to a diamond of type Θ (uniform refers to the constant D). Let now be Γ a discrete group acting by isometries on X. We say that the action is Morse if the orbit maps $\Gamma \to \Gamma \cdot x$ is a Morse quasi-geodesic embedding for a (hence any) word distance on Γ.

Remark 6.

1. On of the great achievment in [KLP14a] is to show that a subgroup of G is a Morse subgroup if and only if it is asymptotically embedded (see definition 1.5). In particular a Morse subgroup is a word-hyperbolic group (corollary 6.17).

2. This article has been thought during a reflexion on Morse subgroups but actually the main theorem remains true if we assume only that the group is hyperbolic and sends quasi-geodesics to quasi-geodesics, which is a slightly weaker hypothesis.

3 Proof of the main theorem

Notations are the same as in section 2.1. Let us first make the statement in the introduction precise.

Theorem 1. *Let $\rho_n : \Gamma \to G$ be a sequence of (L, A, Θ, D)-Morse representations of a finitely generated torsion free subgroup $\Gamma =< s_1, \cdots, s_k >$ such that*

$$\lambda_n = \inf_i |\rho_n(s_i)|$$

is unbouded. Then Γ splits as a free product of a surface group and a free Abelain group.

Fom now on, we assume that the scaling parameters used to construct the asymptotic cone are precisely the numbers λ_n.

Theorem 7. *Let $\rho_n : \Gamma \to X$ be a degenerating sequence of (L, A, Θ, D)-Morse representations. Then there exist a choice of scaling parameters λ_n such that the associated limit action (see lemma 5) on a $\mathbb{R}$-building is (L, A, Θ, D)-Morse.*

Remark 8. Only a weaker version of this lemma will be used in the rest of the proof. Precisely, it is enough for our purpose to show that the orbit maps send quasi-geodesics to quasi-geodesics. But the limiting Morse property may be of independent interest and will be proved with the same argument.

Proof: From lemma 3 and from the very definition of Gromov-Hausdorff, we know that (L, A) quasi-geodesics converge to (L, A) quasi-geodesics. We only need to show that the Morse condition is preserved at the limit. By lemma 3 again we know that sequences of diamonds in X_n converge to diamonds in χ of the same type Θ. Indeed, geodesics converge to geodesics of the same type (endpoints of the geodesics must converge and we conclude using that Θ is compact, so the Θ-star is closed). Then Weyl cones converge to Weyl cones (see also [KL97] proposition 2.4.6 for the regular case). So let ε be a positive real number and $s_{i_1}, \cdots, s_{i_k}$ be a quasi-geodesic in Γ. For any sequence of basepoints (x_n), we get a Morse quasi-geodesic $\gamma_n = s_{i_1}(x_n) \cdots s_{i_k}(x_n)$ in X_n. Hence there exists a Θ-diamond $\diamondsuit_{\Theta, X_n}(a_n, b_n)$ for which

$$d_n(s_{i_1}(x_n), a_n) \leq D, \quad d_n(s_{i_k}(x_n), b_n) \leq D$$

and γ_n is uniformly D-close (for d_n) to $\diamondsuit_{\Theta, X_n}(a_n, b_n)$. Now, we can choose n big enough so that $\diamondsuit_{\Theta, X_n}(a_n, b_n)$ is ε-close to some diamond $\diamondsuit_{\Theta, \chi}(a, b)$ in χ and we note that this gives a $(L, A, \Theta, D + \varepsilon)$-Morse action of Γ on χ and ε is arbitrary small. □

Lemma 9. *Let $\Gamma =< s_1, \cdots, s_k >$ be a finitely generated group acting Morsely (via ρ) on a $\mathbb{R}$-building χ. Suppose none of the generators have a fixed point. Then the action is free.*

Proof: Fronm the hypothesis on the genertors, we only keep in mind that none of the $\rho(s_i)$ is trivial. Let $x \in \chi$ and let $g \in \Gamma$. It is enough to show that g^k doesn't fix x for some k sufficiently big. Since the group Γ is torsion free, the g^k are all different. Hence, for some k big enough, g^k is not contained in the ball of radius AL around the identity element for the word distance δ in Γ. We get, for such a choice of k,

$$d(g^k x, x) \geqslant L^{-1} \delta(g^k, id) - A > 0.$$

□

Lemma 10. *Let $\Gamma =< s_1, \cdots, s_k >$ be a finitely generated group acting freely and Morsely on a $\mathbb{R}$-building χ. Then Γ splits as a free product of a free Abelian group and a surface group.*

Observe that this lemma shares its conclusion with Rips' theorem below. Our goal will be to apply it.

Theorem 11 (Rips' theorem, see [GLP94])**.** *Let Γ be a finitely generated group acting freely on a (possibly non discrete) tree. Then Γ is a free product of a free Abelian group with a surface group.*

Proof: We need to find a tree on which Γ acts freely. By a result of M. Gromov in [Gro81], part 2.6 (see also [Dru02]) and because Γ is hyperbolic (remark 6), the asymptotic cone of (Γ, δ) where δ is any word metric (and for any choice of basepoints and a principal ultrafilter ω) is a $\mathbb{R}$-tree. We denote this metric space by (T, δ). Basepoints in X_n are still denoted $\star_n$ amd the ultrafilter is the same.

Moreover, since the embedding $f_n : (\Gamma, \delta) \to (\Gamma \cdot \star_n, d_n)$ is a (L, A) quasi-isometry, the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} f_\omega : & T & \longrightarrow & \chi \\ & [(\gamma_n)_n] & \longmapsto & [(f_n(\gamma_n))_n] = [(\gamma_n(x_n))_n] \end{array}$$

is a bi-lipschitz embedding (lemma 2.24 in [KLP14b]). We get that Γ acts on the bilipschitz $\mathbb{R}$-tree $f_\omega(T)$ by

$$\gamma \cdot [(\gamma_n(x_n))_n] = [(\gamma\gamma_n(x_n))_n]$$

This action is free since it is the restriction of the action described in lemma 5 and proved to be free in lemma 9. □

References

[AB08] Peter Abramenko and Kenneth S. Brown. *Buildings*, volume 248 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2008. Theory and applications.

[Bes88] Mladen Bestvina. Degenerations of the hyperbolic space. *Duke Math. J.*, 56(1):143–161, 1988.

[CS83] Marc Culler and Peter B. Shalen. Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 117(1):109–146, 1983.

[Dru02] Cornelia Druţu. Quasi-isometry invariants and asymptotic cones. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 12(1-2):99–135, 2002. International Conference on Geometric and Combinatorial Methods in Group Theory and Semigroup Theory (Lincoln, NE, 2000).

[Ebe96] Patrick B. Eberlein. *Geometry of nonpositively curved manifolds*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1996.

[GGKW15] F. Guéritaud, O. Guichard, F. Kassel, and A. Wienhard. Anosov representations and proper actions. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2015.

[GLP94] D. Gaboriau, G. Levitt, and F. Paulin. Pseudogroups of isometries of **R** and Rips' theorem on free actions on **R**-trees. *Israel J. Math.*, 87(1-3):403–428, 1994.

[Gro81] Mikhael Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (53):53–73, 1981.

[GW12] Olivier Guichard and Anna Wienhard. Anosov representations: domains of discontinuity and applications. *Invent. Math.*, 190(2):357–438, 2012.

[KL97] Bruce Kleiner and Bernhard Leeb. Rigidity of quasi-isometries for symmetric spaces and Euclidean buildings. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (86):115–197 (1998), 1997.

[KL06] Bruce Kleiner and Bernhard Leeb. Rigidity of invariant convex sets in symmetric spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 163(3):657–676, 2006.

[KLP14a] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, and J. Porti. A Morse Lemma for quasigeodesics in symmetric spaces and euclidean buildings. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2014.

[KLP14b] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, and J. Porti. Morse actions of discrete groups on symmetric space. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2014.

[KLP15] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, and J. Porti. Some recent results on Anosov representations. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2015.

[Par12] Anne Parreau. Compactification d'espaces de représentations de groupes de type fini. *Math. Z.*, 272(1-2):51–86, 2012.

[Pau97] Frédéric Paulin. Dégénérescence de sous-groupes discrets des groupes de Lie semi-simples. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 324(11):1217–1220, 1997.

[Qui05] J.-F. Quint. Groupes convexes cocompacts en rang supérieur. *Geom. Dedicata*, 113:1–19, 2005.